EVALUATION OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS ON A MEDIUM TO SHORT COTTON VARIETY

Manda G. Cattaneo Texas AgriLife Extension Service, Seminole, Texas Scott Russell Texas AgriLife Extension Service, Brownfield, Texas Terry Millican Texas AgriLife Extension Service, Seminole, Texas

Cooperator: Michael Todd

Introduction

Fibermax 9063B2RF height and growth habit is characterized as medium to short¹. In comparison Fibermax 1880B2RF is characterized as medium-tall and having a vigorous growth habit¹. Plant growth regulators (PGR) are often applied to Fibermax 1880B2RF in an effort to control height. Fibermax 9063B2RF was planted on approximately 58% of the acres in Gaines County and PGRs are often applied during the season. Several PGR are being market for use on cotton. The objectives of this research was to evaluate the performance of commercially available PGRs on a medium to short cotton variety, Fibermax 9063B2RF, in a large plot on-farm trial. Yield and fiber qualities were used to determine the seed yield, lint yield, and lint loan values per acre for each PGR treatment. Additionally, plant mapping was conducted in order to compare plant height and number of nodes under the various applications.

Materials and Methods

An on-farm field trial was conducted in Gaines County, TX in 2008. The trial was planted on 15 May and had a seeding rate of 3.5 seed per row-foot. The trial was irrigated using a center pivot irrigation system. Plots were 8rows wide with a 38 inch row-spacing and extended the length of the field. Four plant growth regulators (PGR) and an untreated check were evaluated in the trial (Table 1). Plots were arranged in a randomized complete block design with 3 replications. The production practices were the same for all treatments. The PGRs were applied on 2 July with flat fan nozzles and a spray volume of 16.7 gallons per acre. A pre-treatment, post-treatment and final plant mapping was conducted on 2 July, 23 July, and 2 October, respectively. Plant mapping included plant height and number of nodes for 10 plants per plot. Additionally, nodes above white flower (NAWF) was included in the posttreatment plant mapping on 23 July. The trial was harvested on 12 November. All plots were weighed separately using a Lee weigh wagon. Sub-samples were taken from each plot. All sub-samples were weighed and then ginned using a sample gin with a lint cleaner, burr extractor and stick machine. Ginned lint was weighed and lint and seed turnouts were calculated. Lint yield and seed yield was determine by multiplying the respective turn out with field plot weights. Approximately 50 gram lint samples were randomly collected for fiber quality analysis. Fiber analysis was conducted by the Texas Tech University Fiber & Biopolymer Research Institute and Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) lint loan values were determined for each plot. Statistical analysis of data was conducted using ARM 8, using LSD.

PGR	Rate/acre	\$/acre
Stance	3 fl oz	\$3.00
Pentia	4 fl oz	\$1.50
Mepex	4 fl oz	\$0.52
Mepex Gin Out	4 fl oz	\$1.19
Untreated Check	-	0

Table 1. Plant Growth Regulators, Application Rates, and estimated cost per acre.

Results

			Plant Mapping						
			July 2			July 23	October 2		ober 2
Treatment	Rate	Unit	Plant Ht	No. Nodes	Plant Ht ¹	No. Nodes	NAWF	Plant Ht	No. Nodes
Stance	3	fl oz/a	7.10	10.88	12.58 b	15.27	7.27	19.63	21.30
Pentia	4	fl oz/a	6.38	10.20	12.74 b	14.60	6.53	20.43	21.13
Mepex	4	fl oz/a	6.81	10.50	14.04 b	15.37	7.07	19.97	21.30
Mepex Gin Out	4	fl oz/a	6.65	10.23	13.06 b	14.70	6.57	20.53	21.07
Untreated	4	fl oz/a	7.28	10.57	16.43 a	16.00	7.87	23.37	22.10
Test Average			6.84	10.48	13.77	15.19	7.06	20.79	21.38
$CV, \%^2$			5.68	2.6	6.24	4.26	10.46	6.9	4.82
OSL ³			0.1195	0.0814	0.003	0.1474	0.2486	0.0743	0.7452
LSD ⁴			NS	NS	1.62	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 2. Plant height (Ht), Number (No.) Nodes, and Nodes Above White Flower (NAWF).

¹Means within a column followed by the same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD). ²CV - coefficient of variation. ³OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value. ⁴LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level.

Table 3. Harvest Results.									
			Seed	Lint	Seed	Lint	Lint loan		
Treatment	Rate	Unit	turnout	turnout	yield	yield	Value ¹		
Stance	3	fl oz/a	0.50	0.31	2144.35	1341.64	0.5758 ab		
Pentia	4	fl oz/a	0.50	0.32	1968.79	1262.79	0.5773 a		
Mepex	4	fl oz/a	0.50	0.32	2029.41	1316.19	0.5787 a		
Mepex Gin Out	4	fl oz/a	0.49	0.32	2056.81	1345.10	0.5727 b		
Untreated	4	fl oz/a	0.49	0.32	1906.32	1245.57	0.5728 b		
Test Average			0.5	0.32	2021.14	1302.26	0.58		
CV, % ²			1.66	1.27	4.25	4.2	0.37		
OSL^3			0.1937	0.1547	0.0712	0.1741	0.0314		
LSD^4			NS	NS	NS	NS	0.004		

¹Means within a column followed by the same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD). ²CV - coefficient of variation. ³OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value. ⁴LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level.

Treatment	Rate	Unit	Micronaire	Length	Uniformity	Strength ¹	Elongation	Leaf	Rd^1	+b
Stance	3	fl oz/a	4.33	1.173	81.07	31.03 ab	8.9	2.3	81.6 a	7.47
Pentia	4	fl oz/a	4.37	1.17	81.1	30.6 bc	9.03	1.7	81.37 a	7.87
Mepex	4	fl oz/a	4.33	1.187	82.03	31.9 a	8.8	2.3	80.83 ab	7.93
Mepex Gin Out	4	fl oz/a	4.5	1.153	80.87	30.7 bc	9.1	2	80.2 b	8.03
Untreated	4	fl oz/a	4.33	1.14	80.57	29.7 с	9.23	2.3	80.27 b	7.93
Test Average			4.37	1.16	81.13	30.79	9.01	2.13	80.85	7.85
$CV, \%^2$			3.84	2.42	1.09	1.9	3.39	24.21	0.67	4.45
OSL ³			0.7013	0.3631	0.4027	0.0194	0.4975	0.4609	0.0443	0.3827
LSD^4			NS	NS	NS	1.10	NS	NS	1.03	NS
¹ Means within a column followed by the same letter do not significantly differ (P=.05, LSD). ² CV - coefficient of variation. ³ OSL - observed										
significance level, or probability of a greater F value. LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level.										

Table 4. HVI fiber property results.

The untreated plant height was significantly taller than the four treatments on July 23, 2008 (Table 2). There were no other dates in which plant height, number of nodes, or Nodes Above White Flower (NAWF) differed (Table 2). There was not a significant difference in seed turnout, lint turnout, seed yield, or lint yield (Table 3). Significant differences were observed in strength and Rd (Table 4).

Discussion

Stance, Pentia, Mepex and Mepex Gin Out preformed similarly in this test. These products were applied to a cotton variety that is characterized as medium to short. This was an exceptionally dry and windy year which resulted in slower growth and development. These products may perform differently when precipitation is not a limiting factor. Additionally, results from this trial should not be extended to varieties that are characterized as having a vigourous growth habit. More tests need to be conducted in order to evaluate these products across varieties and across years.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge and thank Michael Todd for planting, maintaining and harvesting these trials. We would also like to thank Jody Anderson for his assistance in harvesting this trial and Ronnie Wallace for assisting in measuring plot sizes to determine acreages. Additionally, we would like to thank Brady Laney for her assistance in the application of the PGR and Jim Belt for there assistance in plant mapping.

References

¹Bayer CropScience FiberMax Cotton on-line Seed Variety Guide for the Southwest. http://www.bayercropscienceus.com/products_and_seeds/seeds/fibermax.html